

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/624,884 07/22/2003 Richard Harkins 51791AUSC1 1667 **EXAMINER** 27586 7590 05/03/2006 **BERLEX BIOSCIENCES** BLANCHARD, DAVID J PATENT DEPARTMENT **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 2600 HILLTOP DRIVE P.O. BOX 4099 1643 RICHMOND, CA 94804-0099 DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/624,884	HARKINS ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
_		David J. Blanchard	1643
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	ılv 2003	
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.		
/	Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is
-,ت	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.			
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
•			
	8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
o) Socialing) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
2) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/624,884 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-25, drawn to an antibody and immunoconjugate that binds an RG1 polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- II. Claim 26 drawn to a method for selectively destroying RG1 expressing cells with an immunoconjugate that binds the RG1 polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- III. Claims 27-29, drawn to a method of treating a disease in a human patient, wherein the disease is associated with expression of an RG1 polypeptide comprising administering an immunoconjugate that binds the RG1 polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass 134.1.
- IV. Claims 30-35, to a method of detecting a disease associated with the expression of an RG1 polypeptide in a subject comprising administering an RG1 Specific immunoconjugate, detecting the binding of the immunoconjugate and determining the level of binding of the immunoconjugate compared with the level of binding detected in disease-free subjects, classified in class 424, subclass 9.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  The methods of Inventions II-IV differ in the method objectives, method steps and parameters and in the reagents used. Invention II recites a method (i.e., in vitro) for

.

Art Unit: 1643

selectively destroying RG1 expressing cells with an immunoconjugate that binds the RG1 polypeptide; Invention III recites a method of treating a disease in a human patient, wherein the disease is associated with expression of an RG1 polypeptide comprising administering an immunoconjugate that binds the RG1 polypeptide; Invention IV recites a method of detecting a disease associated with the expression of an RG1 polypeptide in a subject comprising administering an RG1 Specific immunoconjugate, detecting the binding of the immunoconjugate and determining the level of binding of the immunoconjugate compared with the level of binding detected in disease-free subjects. The examination of all groups would require different searches in the U.S. Patent shoes and the scientific literature and would require the consideration of different patentability issues. Thus, inventions II-IV are separate and distinct in having different method steps, parameters and reagents used and different endpoints and are patentably distinct.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibody of Group I can be used in a materially different method such as to purify the antigen in addition to the materially different therapeutic and diagnostic methods of Groups II-IV, which differ in the method steps, parameters and reagents used and endpoints.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,884

Art Unit: 1643

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See

Art Unit: 1643

"Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Blanchard whose telephone number is (571) 272-0827. The examiner can normally be reached at Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms, can be reached at (571) 272-0832. The official fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,884

Art Unit: 1643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

Respectfully, David J. Blanchard 571-272-0827

Haml BUM